

United States District Court
For the District of Puerto Rico

RECEIVED AND FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE USDC PR
2024 FEB 13 PM 1:59

Ernesto Ruiz Romero
v.
Secretary of Justice of P.R.

Case No:
24-cv-1067 RAM

RECEIPT #	110363
AMOUNT:	\$5.00
FEB 13 2024	
CASHIER'S SIGNATURE	

Habeas corpus

Here comes to the Honorable court, Ernesto Ruiz Romero, who, respectfully states and prays:

1. Plaintiff Address: Lago Horizonte 2afiro 2025
Coto Laurel P.R. 00780
2. Defendant Address: Calle Teniente Cesar Gonzalez 677
Esq. Ave. Jesus T. Piñero
San Juan, P.R.
3. Jurisdictional Statement:
 - A. Court have jurisdiction to hear habeas corpus when plaintiff Here but defendant in state court enters in a plea bargaining while mentally sick produced by confinement and previous pre-existent mental disabilities.
 - B. State court did not hear several defenses on Double Jeopardy, lack of a felony in the Accusation form, therefore ineffective Assistance of counsel.
 - C. State court did not allow prose litigation in any of their tribunals. See Rule 9.4 of civil procedure. Therefore Administrative exhaustion federalism doctrine would be futile.
 - D. Government of Puerto Rico request denial of Entrance to the Ponce Judicial center that is the motive of Prosecutor and the Judgment court. This is the main motive to file criminal proceedings.

4. if you said in front of the court that you have a mental disease or mental disease produced by confinement, the Judge will stop the proceeding and will close your doors in civil commitment, can take years, and you will not see the Judge Any more.
5. The Court did not hear our federal defenses, kidnapping me without a warrant in the arraignment process, do not allow me to talk to our bailiff, dismiss lawyers without an intervention, the process the court take affect our emotional stability and prison management of our case affect too our emotional stability.
6. The motive of prosecutor was to deny entrance to Ponce Judicial Center. I complaint in Ponce court that a marshal the witness hit me in my face for no reason at all in the parking of the Judicial center. the civil Judge did not want to see the case.
In another day I was in a courtroom viewing a car ticket parking the Judge want to see the case without the police officer and I told him that the Burden Bears in the police officer and how I will confront the proof with the 4th Amendment, 5th or 6th.
The Judge close the case and deny our rights. The Judge said He will hold me in contempt, the Judge took a nap but I don't leave for reconsideration. The marshal Pedro come from other room and throw us in the air, I believe I was to the floor so I defend our self.
7. The prosecutor File Article 284 a felony but He and the Judge of arraignment did not know how to interpret that article. This Article can be interpreted only with the Martin Assouls. But Because I become disabled I cannot defend ourself.
8. The marshal witness said I want that this citizen cannot enter the Jurisdiction center of Ponce. It is the motive to file the criminal charge.

9. I still deny access to the court of Ponce Federal center for the Refusal that take the Marshall when I made a complaint against him. This is contrary to the policy of federal gov.
10. That is the democracy in the court of Ponce, when I complaint in civil court no one want to see our complaint but when the Marshall file criminal charges Every body want to see the case.

Request:

1. I want the Federal Judge to absolve me from this criminal penalties that I'm suffering.
2. To Acquit me from probation or criminal responsibility.

Respectfully submitted, February 12, 2024

3. I want the Federal Judge to restore me the right to enter to Ponce Federal center.

Ernesto Ritz Romero
Lago Horizonte 2a Fina 2005
Coto Laurel P.R. 00780

4. Copy of the Judgment should be forwarded to: case JSCH 2023 00652 el Pueblo v. Ernesto Ritz Romero. en Mayaguez P.R.

91 Av. Hiram David Cabassa
Mayaguez P.R. 00680

Postal Address [Po Box 1210
Mayaguez, PR 00681 -1210

Case Jeopardy →

11. Double Jeopardy grounds:

- A. I did not know the name of the Marshall until he appears as a witness but in a previous case he was part of witness by a prosecutor in a unrelated case.
- B. His testimony is double Jeopardy in the present case, because in the first case I was Acquitted.
- C. Therefore his testimony cannot stand in any court room. so our defense was ineffective because I'm paying a more harsh penalty.

Emile R. J. Almond

Feb 12, 2024

- D. in our probation if I enter Ponce Judicial Center I will be prosecuted.